

Application No. 10/623,057	Applicant(s) CHAN-HUI ET AL.	
Examiner Deborah A. Davis	Art Unit 1641	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Provisional Double Patenting

Claims discussed:
1-14

Prior art documents discussed:
None

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Pauling that the application would be allowed if a terminal disclaimer was filed. Mr. Pauling informed the examiner that the inventors are scattered to other companies and he would have to coordinate them together, get proper signatures and assignment of the application. Mr. Pauling communicated to examiner that if a provisional double patenting rejection was mailed, he would most likely respond by filing a terminal disclaimer..